

HMAN 5180 – Short Grading Guide (Sensorveiledning)

Assignment 1a – Wilson's Typology (40%)

Expected: Correct description of the 4 types (majoritarian, client, interest group, entrepreneurial). Analysis of EU rare disease case with clear classification (entrepreneurial or interest-group depending on argumentation). A good answer include political dynamics: coalitions, conflict patterns, winners/losers.

Assignment 1b – Formative, Process, Outcome (35%)

Expected: Distinct definitions. When used, what each answers. Why comprehensive evaluations need all three. Concrete examples of data for each type. Full score requires clarity and examples.

Assignment 1c – Sampling (25%)

Expected: Clear difference between probability and non-probability. When/why each is used. A good answer mentions representativeness and generalisation.

Assignment 2a – Evaluation Design (40%)

Expected: A group design (e.g. pre-post with comparison group, quasi-experimental). Justified choice. Benefits + challenges. High score requires linking design to programme context (free gym memberships).

Assignment 2b – Four A's (25%)

Expected: Definition of each A (Availability, Accessibility, Acceptability, Affordability). Why assessing all four matters before programme implementation.

Assignment 2c – Narrative Policy Framework (35%)

Expected: Clear definition of NPF. Why narratives matter. Example narrative that illustrates mechanisms (heroes, villains, plots, causal structure). Should link narrative to policy impacts.